Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/612,436	VOULGARIS, CHRISTIAN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Devin Hanan	3745
All Participants: Status of Application: allowed		
(1) <u>Devin Hanan</u> .	(3)	
(2) Herbert Ruschmann 35,341.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>11 May 2005</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Drawing objections		
Claims discussed: 18, 24, 31, 35, 38 and 42 containing electromagnet 20, 26, 33, 37, 40 and 44 containing multifunction heads Prior art documents discussed:		
none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Needs to add drawing of the multifunction heads and the electromagnet. A black box at the appropriate locations would be sufficient Changes were agreed to		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Dein Hanen		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	plicant/Applicant's Representative Sig	nature – if appropriate)